

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/727,400		12/03/2003	Kenichi Wakabayashi	021654-000270US	7524	
20350	7590	08/26/2004		EXAMINER		
TOWNSEN	ND AND	TOWNSEND AN	DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAEL V			
TWO EMBA	ARCADE	RO CENTER				
EIGHTH FL	OOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO. CA 94111-3834				2835		

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,400	WAKABAYASHI ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael V Datskovskiy	2835	p
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addi	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayone if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHOY statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 03 December 2003.		
	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 4-30 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) 4-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 200 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	03 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ or to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR	t 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doce 2. Certified copies of the priority doce 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap le priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Si	tage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03/03 	148) Paper No(s)/ 158/08) 5)	Mail Date Drmal Patent Application (PTO-1 -	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/727,400

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 19-22, 25-27, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neumann et al.

Neumann et al teach an information-processing device (cartridge), Figs. 1-2, comprising: a heat conductive element 25; a processor (one or more from 12-20) in thermal communication with said heat conductive element - plate 25; a printed circuit board 11 and a heat dissipating material 10 between the heat conductive element 25 and the processor; and an inserting plug connector 23 formed at an end region of the circuit board 11. Neumann et al teach furthermore said heat dissipating material is a silicon rubber ((col.3 line 36 through col.4, line 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,400

Art Unit: 2835

4. Claims 24 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neumann et al.

Neumann et al teach all the limitations of the claims except: heat conductive element is made of aluminum (claim 24), and the information device further comprises a housing (claim 29). Regarding to the claim 24: It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time invention was made to make the heat sink from aluminum, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. Regarding to the claim 29: Official Notes is taken, that it is well known in the art to make electronic systems enclosed in a housing in order to protect interior components.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 4-18 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter in the claim 23: Pins are perpendicular to the circuit board.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 4-18: A processor with a heat conductive

Art Unit: 2835

element, said processor mounted on a circuit board, wherein said circuit board is between said processor and a compressible element.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V Datskovskiy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mend Datfler

Michael V Datskovskiy Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835